MEDICAL POLICY



MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS		
Medical Policy Title	Biofeedback	
Policy Number	2.01.09	
Category	Technology Assessment	
Original Effective Date	10/18/01	
Committee Approval	10/18/01, 05/16/02, 04/24/03, 04/15/04, 03/17/05, 03/16/06, 03/15/07, 04/17/08, 09/17/09,	
Date	09/16/10, 09/15/11, 09/20/12, 12/19/13, 11/20/14, 11/19/15, 10/20/16, 10/19/17, 10/18/18,	
	10/17/19, 10/22/20, 10/28/21, 06/16/22, 06/22/23, 06/20/24	
Current Effective Date	06/20/24	
Archived Date	N/A	
Archive Review Date	N/A	
Product Disclaimer	• Services are contract dependent; if a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply.	
	• If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product), medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.	
	• If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.	
	 If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service, please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line 	

POLICY STATEMENT

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, biofeedback for <u>migraine and tension-type</u> <u>headaches</u> has been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, is considered **medically appropriate** as part of the overall treatment plan **only after** other conventional methods of treatment have been attempted (e.g., medication management, relaxation) and not been successful in treating a patient's headache.
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, biofeedback for <u>dyssynergic-type</u> <u>constipation</u> in adults has been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, is considered **medically appropriate** for patients who have failed a three (3) month trial of standard treatments for constipation (e.g., laxatives, dietary changes, adequate fluids, exercise).
- III. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, there is no consistent evidence that supervised or unsupervised biofeedback, alone or as an adjunct to other treatments, demonstrates improvement in patient outcomes. Therefore, biofeedback is considered **investigational** for **ALL** other indications, including but not limited to anxiety disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), cluster headaches, epilepsy, fecal incontinence, home biofeedback devices (e.g., Leva Pelvic Health System), preterm labor, tinnitus, urinary incontinence or retention.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #1.01.19 Pelvic Floor Electrical Stimulation as a Treatment for Urinary or Fecal Incontinence

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #8.01.07 Treatment of Tinnitus

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.03 Experimental or Investigational Services

POLICY GUIDELINES

- I. The recommended treatment course for patients with <u>migraine and tension-type headaches</u>, who meet the criteria stated in Policy Statement I above, is up to 20 biofeedback office-based sessions. Biofeedback sessions beyond 20 sessions will require documentation of therapeutic effectiveness before additional sessions will be considered for coverage.
- II. The recommended treatment course for patients with <u>dyssynergic-type constipation</u>, who meet the criteria stated in policy statement II above, is up to six (6) biofeedback sessions over a three (3) month time. Biofeedback sessions beyond six (6) sessions will require documentation of therapeutic effectiveness before additional sessions will be considered for coverage.

DESCRIPTION

Biofeedback is a technique, using electronic instrumentation, intended to teach patients self-regulation of certain physiologic processes not generally considered to be under voluntary control. The technique involves the feedback of a variety of types of information not generally available to the patient, followed by a concerted effort on the part of the patient to use this feedback to help alter the physiological process in some specific way. Over time, these changes can endure without continued use of an instrument. Biofeedback divides into two major groups, biomechanical and physiologic, based on the parameter of interest.

The most common types of biofeedback involve the measurement of muscle tension (electromyographic or EMG biofeedback), skin temperature (thermal biofeedback), electrical conductance or resistance of the skin (electrodermal biofeedback), and respiration. Electroencephalograph (EEG) biofeedback (also known as neurofeedback, neurotherapy) is a type of biofeedback training intended to enable people to alter their brain waves by using information from a video display or auditory signal of electroencephalograph (APA, 2018).

Biofeedback has been proposed as a treatment for a variety of indications, including, but not limited to addictive behaviors, ADHD, temporomandibular joint dysfunction (TMJ), bruxism, asthma, cardiac arrhythmias, anxiety and panic disorders, headaches, hypertension, movement/neuromuscular disorders, urinary incontinence, fecal incontinence (encopresis), constipation, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), epilepsy, pain, asthma, Raynaud's disease, and insomnia.

For frequent migraine sufferers, the treatment of choice is usually pharmacologic prophylaxis. Avoidance strategies (loud noises flashing lights, stress, and certain foods) also make up a very important first line approach in managing migraine. Biofeedback training with or without relaxation techniques have also been shown to be effective in treating migraine and tension headaches. In particular, thermal biofeedback training has been shown to be effective in treating migraine headache. For the management of tension headache, electromyogram (EMG) feedback has been primarily used. It has been identified that the combination of thermal and EMG biofeedback has been effective in the control of migraine, tension, and mixed migraine and tension headaches. Furthermore, it has been reported that relaxation techniques can produce improvements in headache. Patients should be examined by a physician to ensure that their headaches are not due to pathological conditions such as hematomas, aneurysm, brain tumors, brain edema, or diseases of the eye, ear, and sinus prior to participating in a biofeedback program.

In dyssynergic-type constipation, there is a loss of the ability to coordinate contractions of the pelvic floor muscles and to relax the anal sphincter during defecation. Rome IV diagnostic criteria explain dyssynergic defecation as the inappropriate contraction of the pelvic floor with adequate propulsive forces during attempted defecation, as measured with anal surface EMG or manometry (Schmulson and Drossman, 2017). Rome IV criteria for dyssynergic defecation (Rezaie et al., 2018) consist of the following:

- I. Patient must satisfy the diagnostic criteria for functional constipation and/or constipation-predominant IBS; and
- II. During repeated attempts to defecate, there must be features of impaired evacuation as demonstrated by two of the following three tests:
 - A. Abnormal balloon expulsion test;
 - B. Abnormal anorectal evacuation pattern with manometry or anal surface EMG; and/or
 - C. Impaired rectal evacuation by imaging; and

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 3 of 11

- III. Inappropriate contraction of the pelvic floor, as measured with anal surface EMG or manometry with adequate propulsive forces during attempted defecation; and
- IV. Patient fulfills criteria for the last three months, with symptom onset at least six (6) months before diagnosis.

Biofeedback techniques convert the physiologic measures from an intra-anal EMG sensor, anal manometric probe (measuring intra-anal pressure), or perianal surface EMG electrodes to either visual or audio display for feedback. Biofeedback training is performed alone or in combination with other behavioral therapies designed to teach relaxation.

Patients often report an inability to defecate despite the urge to do so. The aim of biofeedback for constipation is to teach patients how to tighten and relax their external anal sphincter to pass bowel movements. Biofeedback is intended to improve rectal sensory perception, strength, and/or coordination. Sensory training involves inducing intrarectal pressure using a balloon feedback device in which a manometric balloon probe is inserted into the rectum, and the balloon is filled with air to produce a sensation of rectal filling. Strength training uses either anal canal pressure (manometric) or intra-anal EMG feedback of pelvic floor muscles (PFM). The purpose is to strengthen the force of the PFM contraction without including rectal distention. Some training increases endurance (duration of external anal sphincter contraction), as well as peak strength. Coordination training uses pressure feedback of intra-rectal balloon distention using a water-perfused catheter or Schuster-type balloon probe and PFM contractions in a simultaneous feedback display, to synchronize the contraction of the external anal sphincter with relaxation of the internal anal sphincter.

RATIONALE

There are methodologic difficulties in assessing biofeedback. Most interventions that include biofeedback are multimodal and include relaxation and behavioral instruction, which may have an independent effect. While some studies may report a beneficial effect of multimodal treatments, without appropriate controls it is impossible to isolate the specific contribution of biofeedback to the overall treatment effect.

Constipation:

For the treatment of constipation, biofeedback can be used to correct inappropriate contraction of the pelvic floor muscles and external anal sphincter during defecation in patients with defecatory dysfunction such as dyssynergic defecation. Clinical improvement has been reported in adults who have received EMG biofeedback for defecatory dysfunction (Enck et al., 1993; Heymen et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2010).

The 2016 American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS) practice guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Constipation, which recommends biofeedback therapy as a first-line treatment of choice for patients with symptomatic pelvic floor dyssynergia (Strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B).

There is a lack of evidence that addresses whether biofeedback is an effective treatment for constipation in children. As of 2024, there has been no update to the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition clinical practice guideline, which addresses the treatment of constipation which states that evidence does not support the use of biofeedback in the treatment of childhood constipation (Tabbers et al., 2014).

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2017) guidance on constipation in children and young people, indicates that biofeedback should not be used for ongoing treatment. However, biofeedback may be beneficial in the short-term treatment of a small subgroup of patients with intractable constipation.

Fecal Incontinence:

Biofeedback has been investigated as a potential treatment for fecal incontinence. However, literature addressing biofeedback for treating fecal incontinence in adults and children has not found that biofeedback provides additional benefit when offered in conjunction with conventional therapy, compared to conventional therapy alone. Overall, the evidence is insufficient to conclude that biofeedback improves the net health outcome for adults and children with fecal incontinence (Vonthein et al., 2013 Jelovsek et al., 2019, Andy et al., 2020).

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 4 of 11

Headache:

Two systematic reviews addressing biofeedback for migraine headaches and tension headaches were published in 2007 and 2008 (Nestoriuc, et al.). The meta-analysis addressing treatment of migraine headaches included 55 studies (randomized, pre-post, and uncontrolled) and 39 controlled trials. For treatment of tension-type headaches, 53 studies met criteria for analysis; these included controlled studies with standardized treatment outcomes, follow-up of at least three months, and at least four patients per treatment group. Biofeedback was reported to be more effective than headache monitoring, placebo, and relaxation therapies. Biofeedback in combination with relaxation was more effective than biofeedback alone, and biofeedback alone was more effective than relaxation alone, suggesting different elements for the two therapies. Although the authors found that these meta-analyses are limited by the inclusion of studies of poor methodological quality, there was no evidence of an influence of study quality or publication bias in their findings. Authors concluded that biofeedback constitutes an evidence-based treatment option for tension-type headache.

Urinary Incontinence:

There is insufficient evidence to determine the incremental effects of biofeedback on health outcomes in women with stress and/or urge incontinence and men with post-prostatectomy incontinence. Specifically, the value of adding biofeedback to a program of pelvic muscle exercises has not been demonstrated. Studies on combined electrical stimulation and biofeedback have shown mixed results but have not isolated the effect of biofeedback on outcomes.

Two Cochrane reviews provided findings on the use of biofeedback to manage urinary incontinence. Johnson et al. (2023) assessed the effects of conservative interventions, including biofeedback, for managing urinary incontinence after prostate surgery. Twenty-five studies including a total of 3079 participants were identified, finding that the certainty of evidence assessed using GRADE was mixed. The authors reported that the value of conservative interventions for urinary incontinence following prostate surgery alone, or in combination, remains uncertain. Existing trials are typically small with methodological flaws. Concluding that there is a need for large, high-quality, adequately powered, randomized control trials with robust methodology to address this subject.

Todhunter-Brown et al. (2022) summarized 29 relevant Cochrane Reviews, which included 112 unique trials (n = 8975 women) relating to the conservative management of urinary incontinence in women. The authors reportedly could not identify any Cochrane Reviews for some commonly used treatments (i.e., psychological therapies). There is moderate or high certainty evidence that pelvic floor muscle exercises work better if they are more intense, have more support from a health professional, and are combined with strategies to support continued use. However, long-term follow-up was lacking, and the use of multiple and diverse outcomes limited the possibility of combining results to give meaningful evidence. The authors concluded that there are many limitations with the current evidence for conservative treatment of urinary incontinence and often the evidence does not support clear clinical decisions.

EEG Biofeedback/Neurofeedback

EEG biofeedback (also known as neurofeedback) describes techniques for providing feedback about neuronal activity, to teach patients to self-regulate brain activity. Neurofeedback may use several techniques in an attempt to normalize unusual patterns of brain function in patients with various psychiatric and central nervous system disorders.

The evidence is insufficient to determine that EEG biofeedback/neurofeedback results in an improvement in the net health outcome for any indication.

For individuals who have attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) who receive neurofeedback, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (Lim et al., 2019; Aggensteiner et al., 2019; Arnold et al., 2020; Hasslinger et al., 2022; Purper-Ouakil et al., 2022) and systematic review with meta-analyses (Cortese et al., 2016; Van Doren et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2019; Lambez et al., 2020; Riesco-Matias et al., 2021, Lin et al., 2022, Rahmani et al., 2022).

The above-mentioned studies (N range, 144 to 202 patients) have compared neurofeedback with methylphenidate, biofeedback, cognitive behavioral therapy, cognitive training, or physical activity found either small to moderate or no benefit of neurofeedback, and sustained long-term benefit (e.g., at six (6) to 13 months) has not been consistently demonstrated. Studies using active controls have suggested that at least part of the effect of neurofeedback might be due to attention skills training, biofeedback, relaxation training, and/or other nonspecific effects. Two (2) of the RCTs

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 5 of 11

indicated that any beneficial effects were more likely to be reported by evaluators unblinded to treatment (parents), than by evaluators blinded (teachers) to treatment, which would suggest bias in the nonblinded evaluations. One meta-analysis found no effect of neurofeedback on objective measures of attention and inhibition. Additional research with blinded evaluation of outcomes is needed to demonstrate the effect of neurofeedback on ADHD.

In 2018, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) published an intervention evidence review on the efficacy of non-pharmacological treatment and the impact of adverse event associated with non-pharmacological treatments of ADHD. Specifically related to ADHD, the committee found that the current evidence was insufficient to make specific recommendation for the use of neurofeedback for children aged five (5) to 18 years and adults aged over 18 years. Although the committee found some clinically importance benefit from some outcomes, the final decision was based on small sample-sized studies and lower quality evidence.

For individuals who have disorders other than ADHD who receive any type of biofeedback, collectively the evidence from these studies found either small or no benefit from neurofeedback. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome. Evidence includes systematic review with meta-analysis (insomnia, Melo et al., 2019; chronic pain, Hesam-Shariati et al., 2022; post-traumatic stress disorder, Steingrimsson et al., 2020); randomized controlled trials (depression, Maynart et al., 2021 and Park et al., 2020; substance abuse, Gabrielsen et al., 2022; hypertension, Mengden et al., 2023; pediatric epilepsy, Morales-Quezada et al., 2019). There is limited published evidence on the efficacy of biofeedback for cluster headaches, labor pain, back pain, or other indications not listed above.

Home Biofeedback Devices

Rosenblatt et al. (2019) conducted a pilot study to evaluate the effectiveness and usability of pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) with the intravaginal accelerometer-based system leva Pelvic Digital Health System (leva) in woman with mild-to-moderate stress or mixed urinary incontinence (UI). With a small sample (N = 23) of woman, this single-center, open-label study performed PFM exercises guided by the accelerometer-based system twice daily for six (6) weeks. Based on the protocol included in the device and used for FDA clearance, each training session entailed five repetitions of 15-second PFM contraction followed by 15-second relaxation over a period of 2.5 minutes. The authors reported that early results indicate a significant, positive impact on UI-specific subjective outcomes and objective measures of PFM function. However, with study limitations, this research serves as a foundation for future RCTs comparing this technology to other accepted interventions for UI.

Keyser et al. (2022) reported findings of a retrospective cohort study aimed at determining the effectiveness of the prescription digital therapeutics (pDTx) in reducing urinary symptoms in real-world users. The analysis was conducted on 532 eligible women with UI, who completed baseline and 8-week Urogenital Distress Inventory Short Form (UDI-6) survey to assess presence and bother of UI symptoms. The pDTX, leva Pelvic Health System (Renovia, Inc) passively collected usage information and prompted symptoms survey completion to enable remote monitoring of adherence and symptoms. The authors concluded that the study finding demonstrates effectiveness of a pDTx in reduction UI symptoms among this cohort of users in a real-world setting, and the results can be used to inform additional research.

Weinstein et al. (2022) evaluated whether pelvic floor muscle training using a motion-based digital intravaginal device, the leva Pelvic Health System, is more effective than home pelvic floor muscle training for treatment of stress or stress-predominant mixed UI. In this 8-week prospective randomized controlled superiority trial, 363 women were randomized (1:1) and investigators were masked to the group assignment. The two primary outcomes included change in UDI-6 score from baseline to eight (8) weeks and change in number of stress UI episodes on a 3-day bladder diary.

Weinstein et al. (2023) reported the planned secondary analysis, symptom, and adherence data at 6- and 12-months. Of the 363 study participants in the original study, 299 women were analyzed at eight (8) weeks and 286 women at 6- and 12-months.

Centers for Medicare Services (CMS) issued a decision summary in 2002, reaffirming that the medical literature is not sufficient to reliably conclude that the use of home biofeedback devices is reasonable and necessary to treat urinary incontinence.

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 6 of 11

CODES

- Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.
- CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.
- Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.

CPT Codes

• Code Key: Experimental/Investigational = (E/I), Not medically necessary/ appropriate = (NMN).

Code Description 90875 (E/I) Individual psychophysiological therapy incorporating biofeedback training by any modality (face-to-face with the patient), with psychotherapy (e.g., insight oriented, behavior modifying or supportive psychotherapy); 30 minutes 90876 (E/I) 45 minutes 90901 Biofeedback training by any modality 90912 Biofeedback training, perineal muscles, anorectal or urethral sphincter, including EMG and/or manometry, when performed; initial 15 minutes of one-on-one physician or other qualified health care professional contact with the patient 90913 each additional 15 minutes of one-on-one physician or other qualified health care professional contact with the patient (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

Copyright © 2024 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS Codes

Code	Description
E0746	Electromyography (EMG), biofeedback device
S9002 (E/I)	Intravaginal motion sensor system, provides biofeedback for pelvic floor muscle
	rehabilitation device (<i>effective 04/01/24</i>)

ICD10 Codes

Code	Description
G43.001-	Migraine (code range)
G43.919	
G44.201-	Tension-type headache (code range)
G44.229	
K59.02	Outlet dysfunction constipation

REFERENCES

*Aggarwal VR, et al. The effectiveness of self-management interventions in adults with chronic orofacial pain: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. <u>Eur J Pain</u> 2019 May;23(5):849-865.

*Aggensteiner PM, et al. Slow cortical potentials neurofeedback in children with ADHD: comorbidity, self-regulation, and clinical outcomes 6 months after treatment in a multicenter randomized controlled trial. <u>Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry</u> 2019 Aug;28(8):1087-1095. PMID 30610380.

*Altunkol A, et al. Is urotherapy alone as effective as a combination of urotherapy and biofeedback in children with dysfunctional voiding? <u>Int Braz J Urol</u> 2018 Sep-Oct;44(5):987-995.

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 7 of 11

*Alyami F, et al. Biofeedback as single first-line treatment for non-neuropathic dysfunctional voiding children with diurnal enuresis. <u>Can Urol Assoc J</u> 2019 Jan;13(1): E7–E9.

*Amatya B, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for chronic pain in multiple sclerosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018 Dec 19;12:CD012622.

*American Psychological Association. Dictionary term: neurofeedback. Updated 2018 Apr 19. [https://dictionary.apa.org/neurofeedback] accessed 05/08/24.

*American Gastroenterological Association. Medical position statement on constipation. <u>Gastroenterol</u> 2013 Jan;144(1):211-7 [http://www.gastrojournal.org/article/S0016-5085(12)01545-4/fulltext#sec5] accessed 03/29/24.

*American Urological Association. Incontinence after prostate treatment: AUA/SUFU guideline. Published 2019 [https://www.auanet.org/guidelines/incontinence-after-prostate-treatment] accessed 05/21/24.

Andy UU, et al. Impact of treatment for fecal incontinence on constipation symptoms. <u>American Journal of Obstetrics &</u> <u>Gynecology</u> 2020 Jun; 590:e1-8.

*Arnold LE, et al. Double-blind placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial of neurofeedback for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder with 13-month follow-up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2021 Jul;60(7):841-855. PMID 32853703

*Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback Inc. Standards for performing biofeedback. 2013; [https://aapb.org/Standards_for_Performing_Biofeedback] accessed 05/09/24.

Barth B et al., A random-controlled neurofeedback trial in adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. <u>Sci Rep</u> 2021; 11:16873.

Bordeianou LG, et al. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons clinical practice guidelines for the management of fecal incontinence. <u>Dis Colon Rectum</u> 2023;66:647-661.

Bushman ET, et al. Randomized controlled trials of headache treatments in pregnancy: A systematic review. <u>Am J</u> <u>Perinatol</u> 2021;38(suppl S1):e102–e108.

*Cortese S, et al. Neurofeedback for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis of clinical and neuropsychological outcomes from randomized controlled trials. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2016 Jun 2016; 55(6):444-455. PMID 27238063

Dos Reis JN, et al. EMG biofeedback or parasacral transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in children with lower urinary tract dysfunction: A prospective and randomized trial. <u>Neurourol Urodyn</u> 2019 Aug;38(6):1588-1594.

*Enck, P. Biofeedback training in disordered defecation. Dig Diseases and Sciences 1993 Nov;38:1593-1960.

*Gabrielsen KB, et al. Infralow neurofeedback in the treatment of substance use disorders: a randomized controlled trial. <u>J</u> <u>Psychiatry Neurosci</u> 2022;47(3):E222-E229. PMID 35705204.

Hagen S, et al. Effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle training with and without electromyographic biofeedback for urinary incontinence in women: multicenter randomized controlled trial. <u>BMJ</u> 2020 Oct;371:m3719.

*Hasslinger J, et al. Slow cortical potential versus live z-score neurofeedback in children and adolescents with ADHD: a multi-arm pragmatic randomized controlled trial with active and passive comparators. <u>Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol</u> 2022 Apr;50(4):447-462. PMID 34478006.

Hesam-Shariati N, et al. The analgesic effect of electroencephalographic neurofeedback for people with chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <u>Eur J Neurol</u> 2022 Mar; 29(3): 921-936. PMID 34813662.

*Heymen S, et al. Randomized, controlled trial shows biofeedback to be superior to alternative treatments for patients with pelvic floor dyssynergia-type constipation. <u>Dis Colon Rectum</u> 2007 Apr;50(4):428-11.

*Jarusiewicz B. Efficacy of neurofeedback for children in the autism spectrum: a pilot study. <u>J Neurother</u> 2002 Sep 8;6(4):39-49.

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 8 of 11

*Jelovsek JE, et al. Controlling faecal incontinence in women by performing anal exercises with biofeedback or loperamide: a randomised clinical trial. <u>Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol</u> 2019 Sep;4(9):698-710. PMID 31320277.

*Johnson EE, et al. Conservative interventions for managing urinary incontinence after prostate surgery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2023 Apr 18;4:CD014799.

*Juul-Kristensen B, et al. Positive effects of neuromuscular shoulder exercises with or without EMG-biofeedback, on pain and function in participants with subacromial pain syndrome - A randomized controlled trial. <u>J Electromyogr Kinesiol</u> 2019 Oct;48:161-168.

*Kannan P, et al. Effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle training alone and in combination with biofeedback, electrical stimulation, or both compared to control for urinary incontinence in men following prostatectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis. <u>Phys Ther</u> 2018 Nov 1;98(11):932-945.

*Keyser LE, et al. A digital health program for treatment of urinary incontinence: retrospective review of real-world user data. Int Urogynecol J 2022;34:1083–1089

Kobashi KC, et al. Updates to surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence (SUI): American Urological Association (AUA)/ Society of Urodynamics, Female Pelvic Medicine & Urogenital Reconstruction (SUFU) guideline. J Urol 2023;209(6):1091-1098.

Kondo K, et al. Efficacy of biofeedback for medical conditions: an evidence map. <u>J Gen Intern Med</u> 2019 Aug 13;34(12):2883-2893.

Krepel N, et al. A multicenter effectiveness trial of QEEG-informed neurofeedback in ADHD: Replication and treatment prediction. <u>Neuroimage Clin</u> 2020; 28:102399.

*Lambez B, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for cognitive difficulties in ADHD: A systematic review and metaanalysis. <u>J Psychiatr Res</u> 2020 Jan;120:40-55. PMID 31629998.

*Lee BH et al. The long-term clinical efficacy of biofeedback therapy for patients with constipation or fecal incontinence. <u>J Neurogastroenterol Motil</u> 2010;16:117.

*Lightner DJ, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder (non-neurogenic) in adults: American Urological Association (AUA)/ Society of Urodynamics, Female Pelvic Medicine & Urogenital Reconstruction (SUFU) guideline amended 2019. J Urol 2019;202:558 [https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-and-quality/guidelines/overactive-bladder-(oab)-guideline] accessed 03/29/24.

*Lim CG, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a brain-computer interface-based attention training program for ADHD. <u>PLoS One</u> 2019 May 21;14(5):e0216225. PMID 31112554

*Lin FL, et al. Additive effects of EEG neurofeedback on medications for ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <u>Sci Rep</u> 2022 Nov;12(1):20401, Article 20401.

* Maynart WHDC, et al. The use of biofeedback intervention in the improvement of depression levels: a randomised trial. <u>Acta Neuropsychiatr</u> 2021 Jun 1;33(3):126-133.PMID 33427129

MacIntosh et al. A biofeedback-enhanced therapeutic exercise video game intervention for young people with cerebral palsy: A randomized single-case experimental design feasibility study. <u>PLOS ONE</u> 2020 Jun 22;15(6):e0234767.

*Melo DLM, et al. Biofeedback therapies for chronic insomnia: a systematic review. <u>Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback</u> 2019 Dec;44(4):259-269. PMID 31123938.

* Mengden T, et al. Device-guided slow breathing with direct biofeedback of pulsewave velocity - acute effects on pulse arrival time and self-measured blood pressure. <u>Blood Press Monit</u> 2023 Feb 01;28(1):52-58. PMID 36606480

*Morales-Quezada L, et al. Neurofeedback impacts cognition and quality of life in pediatric focal epilepsy: An exploratory randomized double-blinded sham-controlled trial. <u>Epilepsy Behav</u> Dec 2019;101(Pt A): 106570. PMID 31707107.

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 9 of 11

Mundet L, et al. Kegel exercises, biofeedback, electrostimulation, and peripheral neuromodulation improve clinical symptoms of fecal incontinence and affect specific physiological targets: a randomized controlled trial. <u>J</u> <u>Neurogastroenterol Motil</u> 2021 Jan;27(1):108-118.

*Nagai Y, et al. Epileptic seizures are reduced by autonomic biofeedback therapy through enhancement of fronto-limbic connectivity: a controlled trial and neuroimaging study. <u>EBioMedicine</u> 2018 Jan;27:112-122.

*National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Guideline NG87. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (update). Evidence review(s) for efficacy of non-pharmacological treatment and the impact of adverse events associated with non-pharmacological treatments of ADHD. 2018 Mar. [https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng87/evidence/e-nonpharmacological-efficacy-and-adverse-events-pdf-4783686305] accessed 05/07/24.

*National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Guideline 99: Constipation in children and young people. 2010, updated Jul 2017 [http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG99] accessed 05/01/24.

*Nestoriuc Y and Martin A. Efficacy of biofeedback for migraine: a meta-analysis. Pain 2007 Mar;128(1-2):111-127.

*Nestoriuc Y, et al. A. Meta-analysis of biofeedback for tension-type headache: efficacy, specificity, and treatment moderators. <u>J Consult Clin Psychol</u> 2008 Jun;76(3):379-396.

*Nunes EFC, et al. Biofeedback for pelvic floor muscle training in women with stress urinary incontinence: a systematic review with metaanalysis. <u>Physiotherapy</u> 2019 Mar;105(1):10-23.

*Paquette IM, et al. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons' Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Constipation. <u>Dis Colon Rectum</u> 2016;59:479-492.

*Park SM and Jung HY. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia biofeedback alters heart rate variability and default mode network connectivity in major depressive disorder: A preliminary study. <u>Int J Psychophysiol</u> 2020 Dec;158: 225-237. PMID 33148502.

Patel K et al. Effects of neurofeedback in the management of chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. <u>Eur J Pain</u> 2020 Sept;24(8):1440-1457.

*Purper-Ouakil D, et al. Personalized at-home neurofeedback compared to long-acting methylphenidate in children with ADHD: NEWROFEED, a European randomized noninferiority trial. <u>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</u> 2022 Feb;63(2): 187-198. PMID 34165190.

*Rao SS, et al. Randomized controlled trial of biofeedback, sham feedback, and standard therapy for dyssynergic defecation. <u>Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol</u> 2007 Mar;5(3):331-8.

*Raeissadat SA, et al. The efficacy of electromyographic biofeedback on pain, function, and maximal thickness of vastus medialis oblique muscle in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. J Pain Res 2018 Nov 8;11:2781-2789.

*Rezaie A, et al. Dyssynergic defecation in inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2018 Apr 23;24(5):1065-1073.

*Rahmani E, et al. Is neurofeedback effective in children with ADHD? A systematic review and meta-analysis. <u>Neurocase</u> 2022;28(1):84-95.

*Riesco-Matías P, et al. What do meta-analyses have to say about the efficacy of neurofeedback applied to children with ADHD? review of previous meta-analyses and a new meta-analysis. J Atten Disord 2021 Feb1;25(4):473-485. PMID 30646779.

*Rosenblatt P, et al., Evaluation of an accelerometer-based digital health system for the treatment of female urinary incontinence: A pilot study. <u>Neurourol Urodyn</u> 2019;38(7):1944-1952.

Sampedro Baena L et al. Effects of neurofeedback in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. J Clin Med 2021 Aug 25;10(17):3797.

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 10 of 11

*Schmulson MJ and Drossman DA. What is new in Rome IV. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017 Apr:23(2):151-163.

*Schönenberg M, et al. Neurofeedback, sham neurofeedback, and cognitive-behavioural group therapy in adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a triple-blind, randomised, controlled trial. <u>Lancet Psychiatry</u> 2017; 4:673.

Steingrimsson S, et al. Electroencephalography-based neurofeedback as treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <u>Eur Psychiatry</u> 2020 Jan 31; 63(1):e7. PMID 32093790.

Richter HE, et al. Characteristics associated with clinically important treatment responses in women undergoing nonsurgical therapy for fecal incontinence. <u>Am J Gastroenterol</u> 2020 Jan;115(1):115–127.

*Simren M, et al. Update on Rome IV criteria for colorectal disorders: implications for clinical practice. <u>Curr</u> <u>Gastroenterol Rep</u> 2017 Apr:19(4):15.

*Tabers MM, et al. Evaluation and treatment of functional constipation in infants and children: evidence-based recommendations from ESPGHAN and North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (NASPGHAN). JPGN 2014;58:258-274.

*Ternent CA, et al. Practice parameters for the evaluation and management of constipation. <u>Dis Colon Rectum</u> 2007 Dec; 50(12):2013-22.

*Todhunter-Brown A et al. Conservative interventions for treating urinary incontinence in women: an overview of Cochrane systematic reviews. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2022 Sept;9:CD012337.

U.S. Food & Drug Administration. 510(k) Premarket Notification. Leva Pelvic Health System. 2021 September 08. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K212495] accessed 05/21/24.

*Van Doren J, et al. Sustained effects of neurofeedback in ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <u>Eur Child</u> <u>Adolesc Psychiatry</u> 2019 Mar; 28(3): 293-305. PMID 29445867

*Vonthein R, et al. Electrical stimulation, and biofeedback for the treatment of fecal incontinence: a systematic review. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2013 Nov;28(11):1567-77.

*Weinstein MM, et al., A Motion-based device urinary incontinence treatment: A longitudinal analysis at 18 and 24 months. Int Urogynecol J 2024 Apr;35(4):803-810.

*Weinstein MM, et al. Digital therapeutic device for urinary incontinence. A longitudinal analysis at 6 and 12 months. <u>Obstet Gynecol</u> 2023 Jan 1;141:199–206.

*Weinstein MM et al., Digital Therapeutic Device for Urinary Incontinence. A Randomized Controlled Trial. Original research. <u>Obstetrics & Gynecology</u> 2022 April;139(4):606-615.

*Weinstein MM, et al. Multicenter randomized controlled trial of pelvic floor muscle training with a motion-based digital therapeutic device versus pelvic floor muscle training alone for treatment of stress-predominant urinary incontinence. <u>Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg</u> 2022 Jan 1;28(1):1-6.

*Wolraich ML, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. <u>Pediatrics</u> 2019 Oct;144(4). PMID 31570648.

*Woodford H and Price C. EMG biofeedback for the recovery of motor function after stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007 Apr 18;(2):CD004585.

*Yan L, et al. Effects of neurofeedback versus methylphenidate for the treatment of ADHD: systematic review and metaanalysis of head-to-head trials. <u>Evid Based Ment Health</u> 2019 Aug;22(3): 111-117. PMID 31221690.

Zar-Kessler C, et al., Benefit of pelvic floor physical therapy in pediatric patients with dyssynergic defecation constipation. <u>Dig Dis</u> 2019;37(6):478-485.

*Key Article

Medical Policy: BIOFEEDBACK Policy Number: 2.01.09 Page: 11 of 11

KEY WORDS

Biofeedback, EMG feedback, EEG biofeedback (also known as neurofeedback)

CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS

There are currently National Coverage Determinations (NCD) for biofeedback. Please refer to the following NCD websites for Medicare Members:

Biofeedback Therapy (NCD 30.1): [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?NCDId=41] accessed 03/29/24.

Biofeedback Therapy for the Treatment of Urinary Incontinence (NCD 30.1.1): [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=42&ncdver=1] accessed 03/29/24.

There is currently a National Coverage Analysis (NCA) Decision Memo for home biofeedback for urinary incontinence. Please refer to the following NCA website for Medicare Members: [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncacal-decision-memo.aspx?proposed=N&ncaid=34] accessed 05/21/24.

There is currently a Local Coverage Determinations (LCD) for Psychiatry and Psychology Services (L33632). Please refer to the following LCD website for Medicare Members: [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?LCDId=33632&ContrId=275] accessed 03/29/24.