

# MEDICAL POLICY

MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS	
Medical Policy Title	Angioplasty of Intracranial Atherosclerotic Stenoses with or without Stenting
Policy Number	7.01.70
Category	Technology Assessment
Original Effective Date	02/16/06
Committee Approval Date	11/16/06, 09/20/07, 10/23/08, 09/17/09, 08/19/10, 07/21/11, 06/21/12, 05/23/13, 04/17/14, 03/19/15, 03/17/16, 03/16/17, 03/15/18, 02/21/19, 02/20/20, 02/18/21, 02/17/22, 02/16/23
Current Effective Date	02/16/23
Archived Date	02/16/23
Archive Review Date	N/A
Product Disclaimer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply.</li> <li>• If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product), medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>• If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>• If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>• If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service, please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line.</li> </ul>

## POLICY STATEMENT

Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, with or without stenting, has not been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, is considered **investigational** for treatment of intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis.

*Refer to Corporate Medical Policy # 7.01.60 Extracranial Carotid and Vertebral Artery Angioplasty and Stents.*

*Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.03 Experimental and Investigational Services.*

*Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.10 Clinical Trials.*

## DESCRIPTION

Approximately 795,000 people suffer from stroke in the United States annually, of which 87% are ischemic. A significant number of ischemic strokes are due to intracranial atherosclerosis. Intracranial stenosis may contribute to stroke either by thrombosis or low-flow ischemia (symptomatic stenosis) in the absence of collateral circulation. Medical treatment with either antithrombotic therapy or agents to increase mean arterial blood pressure is considered less than optimal, and surgical options have resulted in only minimal success.

Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) has been approached cautiously in the intracranial circulation, due to technical difficulties in catheter and stent design, and the risk of embolism. However, improvement in catheter trackability and the increased use of stents have created ongoing interest in exploring PTA as a minimally invasive treatment for the prevention of stroke in patients with intracranial artery stenosis. Most published studies of intracranial PTA have focused on the vertebrobasilar circulation as treatment for symptomatic stenosis. A few studies have explored the use of stents as a rescue measure in situations of failed thrombolytic therapy or in patients who are not candidates for thrombolytic treatment.

## **Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 2 of 9**

### **RATIONALE**

The Wingspan Stent System with Gateway PTA Balloon Catheter (Stryker Neurovascular) is the only Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved system currently indicated for improving cerebral artery lumen diameter in patients 22-80 years old with recurrent (2 or more) strokes refractory to a comprehensive regimen of medical therapy and due to atherosclerotic disease of intracranial vessels with 70-99% stenosis and that are accessible to the stent system. Patients in this subset have a poor prognosis, and treatment options are limited. The system consists of a highly flexible, microcatheter-delivered, self-expanding, nitinol stent, which may be suitable for lesions in the distal internal carotid and middle cerebral arteries. The Wingspan was approved following a prospective, multi-center, single-arm trial of 45 patients enrolled at 12 international centers (Bose, et al 2007). The primary safety endpoint was a composite of stroke and death clinical outcomes at 30 days, which occurred in 4.5% of patients. Clinical follow-up (42 patients) and angiographic follow-up (40 patients) were performed at six months. The type and frequency of observed adverse events, including stroke, were consistent with, or lower than, similar neurovascular procedures. Therefore, the FDA concluded that the probable benefit to health from using the Wingspan Stent System with Gateway PTA Balloon Catheter for treating transcranial stenosis outweighs the risk of illness or injury when used in accordance with the Instructions for Use and when considering the probable risks and benefits of currently available alternative forms of treatment. The system is authorized under a Humanitarian Device Exemption and requires institutional review board approval prior to clinical site use.

The Stenting and Aggressive Medical Management for Preventing Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS) trial was a randomized, controlled trial (RCT) by Chimowitz et al, (2011) with a follow up study published by C.P. Derdeyn and colleagues (2014) comparing aggressive medical management alone, to aggressive medical management plus stenting in patients with symptomatic cerebrovascular disease and an intracranial stenosis of between 70-99%. That trial used the Wingspan Stent System, implanted by experienced neurointerventionists who had been credentialed to participate in the trial. The authors had planned for an enrollment of approximately 750 patients, based on power calculations. However, the trial was stopped early for futility, after 451 patients had been randomized. The trial was terminated due to an excess of the primary outcome, stroke or death, at 30 days in the stenting group. In the stenting group, the rate of stroke or death at 30 days was 14.7%, compared to a rate of 5.8% ( $p=0.002$ ) in the medical management group. At the time of termination, the mean follow-up was 11.9 months. Kaplan-Meier estimates of the primary outcome of stroke or death at one year was 20.5% in the stenting group, compared to 12.2% ( $p=0.009$ ) in the medical management group.

During a median follow-up of 32.4 months, 34 (15%) of 227 patients in the medical group and 52 (23%) of 224 patients in the stenting group had a primary endpoint event. The cumulative probability of the primary endpoints was smaller in the medical group versus the percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and stenting (PTAS) group ( $p=0.0252$ ). The absolute differences in the primary endpoint rates between the two groups were 7.1% at year one (95% CI 0.2 to 13.8%;  $p=0.0428$ ), 6.5% at year two (-0.5 to 13.5%;  $p=0.07$ ), and 9.0% at year three (1.5 to 16.5%;  $p=0.0193$ ). The occurrence of the following adverse events was higher in the stenting group than in the medical group: any stroke (59 [26%] of 224 patients versus 42 [19%] of 227 patients;  $p=0.0468$ ) and major hemorrhage (29 [13%] of 224 patients versus 10 [4%] of 227 patients;  $p=0.0009$ ). The researchers concluded that, for high-risk patients with intracranial stenosis, aggressive medical management is superior to stenting with the Wingspan device, at both early and later phases of follow-up.

The FDA Neurological Devices Panel met on March 23, 2012 to discuss the continued approval of the Wingspan Stent, after the poor results of the SAMMPRIS trial. In an informal vote, the panel agreed unanimously that the current data on the device do not support its safety and efficacy as a treatment for ischemic stroke in adults and warranted continued research. Based on that panel meeting, the FDA mandated Stryker to conduct a postmarket surveillance study and narrowed the indications for the use of Wingspan (FDA Medwatch, August 2012), stating:

After reviewing the available safety information, the FDA believes that a very specific group of patients with severe intracranial stenosis and recurrent stroke despite continued medical management – who have not had any new symptoms of stroke within the 7 days prior to planned treatment with Wingspan – may benefit from the use of the device. ...The agency's assessment of benefits and risks for this device

## **Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 3 of 9**

considered that these patients are at serious risk of life-threatening stroke and have limited alternative treatment options.

The long-term follow up to the SAMMPRIS trial from C.P. Derdeyn and colleagues (2014) discovered that during a median follow-up of 32.4 months, 34 (15%) of 227 patients in the medical group and 52 (23%) of 224 patients in the stenting group had a primary endpoint event. The cumulative probability of the primary endpoints was smaller in the medical group versus the percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and stenting (PTAS) group ( $p=0.0252$ ). The absolute differences in the primary endpoint rates between the two groups were 7.1% at year one (95% CI 0.2 to 13.8%;  $p=0.0428$ ), 6.5% at year two (-0.5 to 13.5%;  $p=0.07$ ), and 9.0% at year three (1.5 to 16.5%;  $p=0.0193$ ). The occurrence of the following adverse events was higher in the stenting group than in the medical group: any stroke (59 [26%] of 224 patients versus 42 [19%] of 227 patients;  $p=0.0468$ ) and major hemorrhage (29 [13%] of 224 patients versus 10 [4%] of 227 patients;  $p=0.0009$ ). The researchers concluded that, for high-risk patients with intracranial stenosis, aggressive medical management is superior to stenting with the Wingspan device, at both early and later phases of follow-up.

The Wingspan postmarket surveillance study, the WEAVE trial, was published by Alexander and colleagues in 2019. It was a prospective, single-arm, multicenter, consecutive enrollment study. The primary objective was to evaluate the rate of stroke and death within 72 hours post stenting in patients treated with the Wingspan Stent System, strictly according to the Instructions for Use ( $n=198$ ). A total of 152 patients met on-label indications and underwent the procedure, and 46 patients did not meet the approved indications for use criteria. Mean target artery stenosis before the procedure was 83% and mean target stenosis after stenting was 28%. There was a 2.6% periprocedural complication rate (2 deaths, 2 strokes without death) in the cohort who met FDA-approved indications). This was lower than the 4% periprocedural primary event safety benchmark set for the interim analysis in the study, and the trial was stopped early. There was a 23.9% periprocedural complication rate for those patients who did not meet the FDA-approved indications for use (2 deaths, 9 strokes without death all occurring in the territory of the stented artery). Mean Wingspan case experience for interventionalists in the WEAVE trial was 37 stents. Those with more than 50 Wingspan cases prior to the study had 0% periprocedural stroke and death index rate, while interventionalists with less than 50 Wingspan cases before trial had a 4.8% index event rate in trial patients. The authors compared this data to the median number of Wingspan stents delivered by interventionalists in the SAMMPRIS trial before beginning enrollment (10 stents) demonstrating the WEAVE trial had more experienced interventionalists than those involved in SAMMPRIS. The WOVEN study (Alexander, et al 2021) conducted a one year follow up chart review and imaging analysis of 129 patients from the original cohort. The goal was to provide a more homogenous patient group for analysis, and evaluate 1-year stroke and death rates in stented patients, which was 8.5%. The authors concluded that with experienced interventionalists, and proper patient selection following the on-label usage guidelines, the use of the Wingspan stent for intracranial atherosclerotic disease demonstrated a low periprocedural complication rate and excellent safety profile.

Given the results of the mandated post-market study, the FDA issued a safety communication in April 2019 reiterating that the use of Wingspan in patients who do not meet the FDA-approved indications for use criteria have a significantly increased risk of stroke or death and also called out the revised indications for its use: patients between 22 and 80 years of age and who have had two or more strokes despite aggressive medical management; whose most recent stroke occurred more than seven days prior to planned treatment with Wingspan; who have 70-99% stenosis due to atherosclerosis of the intracranial artery related to the recurrent strokes; and who have made good recovery from the previous stroke and have a modified Rankin Scale score of three or less prior to Wingspan treatment.

A 2020 Cochrane Systematic Review by Wang, et al. aimed to compare the safety and efficacy of endovascular therapy with medical management versus medical management alone for the treatment of symptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis. Primary outcomes were death of any cause or non-fatal stroke within three months of randomization. The literature search yielded three RCTs, representing 632 patients. Modalities for endovascular therapy included angioplasty alone, balloon-mounted stent use, and angioplasty followed by a placement of a self-expanding stent. Medical management consisted of controlling risk factors (hypertension, hyperlipidemia and diabetes) as well as antiplatelet therapy. Endovascular therapy was associated with worse outcomes in the 30-day death and stroke rate (risk ratio (RR) 3.07, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.80 to 5.24), and one-year death or stroke rate (RR 1.69, 95% CI, 1.21-2.36). Blinding is not possible in these studies due to the intervention. The studies were terminated early, and there were high

**Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 4 of 9**

rates of loss to follow-up. The authors concluded that for individuals with symptomatic severe intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis, endovascular therapy does not prevent recurrent strokes and has an increased risk of harm.

**CODES**

- Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.
- **CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.**
- Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.
- Code Key: Experimental/Investigational = (E/I), Not medically necessary/appropriate = (NMN)

**CPT Codes**

<b>Code</b>	<b>Description</b>
61630 (E/I)	Balloon angioplasty, intracranial (e.g., atherosclerotic stenosis), percutaneous
61635 (E/I)	Transcatheter placement of intravascular stent(s), intracranial (e.g., atherosclerotic stenosis), including balloon angioplasty, if performed
36221	Non-selective catheter placement, thoracic aorta, with angiography of the extracranial carotid, vertebral, and/or intracranial vessels, unilateral or bilateral, and all associated radiological supervision and interpretation, includes angiography of the cervicocerebral arch, when performed
36223	Selective catheter placement, common carotid or innominate artery, unilateral, any approach, with angiography of the ipsilateral intracranial carotid circulation and all associated radiological supervision and interpretation, includes angiography of the extracranial carotid and cervicocerebral arch, when performed
36224	Selective catheter placement, internal carotid artery, unilateral, with angiography of the ipsilateral intracranial carotid circulation and all associated radiological supervision and interpretation, includes angiography of the extracranial carotid and cervicocerebral arch, when performed
36228	Selective catheter placement, each intracranial branch of the internal carotid or vertebral arteries, unilateral, with angiography of the selective vessel circulation and all associated radiological supervision and interpretation (e.g., middle cerebral artery, posterior inferior cerebellar artery) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

*Copyright © 2023 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL*

**HCPCS Codes**

<b>Code</b>	<b>Description</b>
No codes	

**ICD10 Codes**

<b>Code</b>	<b>Description</b>
Investigational for all diagnosis codes	

## **Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 5 of 9**

### **REFERENCES**

\*Adams HP, et al. Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: the American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. Stroke 2007 May;38(5):1655-711.

Aghaebrahim A, et al. Endovascular recanalization of symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis despite aggressive medical management. World Neurosurg 2019 Mar;123:e693-e699.

\*Al-Ali F, et al. Predictors of unfavorable outcome in intracranial angioplasty and stenting in a single-center comparison: results from the Borgess Medical Center-Intracranial Revascularization Registry. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011 Aug;32(7):1221-6.

\*Al-Ali F, et al. How effective is endovascular intracranial revascularization in stroke prevention? Results from the Borgess Medical Center-Intracranial Revascularization Registry. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011 Aug;32(7):1227-31.

\*Alexander MJ, et al. WEAVE trial: final results in 152 on-label patients. Stroke 2019 Apr;50(4):889-894.

\*Al Hasan M, et al. Stenting versus aggressive medical therapy for intracranial arterial stenosis: more harm than good. Crit Care 2012 May 9;16(3):310.

\*Blasel S, et al. Recanalization results after intracranial stenting of atherosclerotic stenoses. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2010 Oct;33(5):914-20.

\*Bose A, et al. A novel, self-expanding, nitinol stent in medically refractory intracranial atherosclerotic stenoses: the Wingspan study. Stroke 2007 May;38(5):1531-7.

\*Chaudhry SA, et al. The new standard for performance of intracranial angioplasty and stent placement after Stenting versus Aggressive Medical Therapy for Intracranial Arterial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS) Trial. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011 Dec;32(11):E214.

\*Chavent A, et al. Endovascular treatment of symptomatic intracranial atheromatous stenosis: a single center study of 21 consecutive cases. J Neuroradiol 2012 Dec;39(5):332-41.

\*Chimowitz MI, et al. Stenting versus aggressive medical therapy for intracranial arterial stenosis. N Engl J Med 2011 Sep 15;365(11):993-1003.

\*Costalat V, et al. Endovascular treatment of symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis: six-year experience in a single-center series of 42 consecutive patients with acute and mid-term results. Neurosurg 2010 Dec;67(6):1505-13.

\*Costalat V, et al. Endovascular treatment of symptomatic intracranial stenosis with Wingspan stent system and gateway PTA balloon: a multicenter series of 60 patients with acute and midterm results. J Neurosurg 2011 Oct;115(4):686-93.

\*Coward LJ, et al. Long-term outcome after angioplasty and stenting for symptomatic vertebral artery stenosis compared with medical treatment in the Carotid And Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty Study (CAVATAS): a randomized trial. Stroke 2007 May;38(5):1526-30.

\*Coward LJ, et al. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and stenting for vertebral artery stenosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005 Apr 18;(2):CD000516.

\*Cruz-Flores S, Diamond AL. Angioplasty for intracranial artery stenosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Jul 19;3:CD004133.

\*Derdeyn CP, et al. Impact of operator and site experience on outcomes after angioplasty and stenting in the SAMMPRIS trial. J Neurointerv Surg 2013 Nov;5(6):528-33.

## **Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 6 of 9**

- \*Derdeyn CP, et al. Aggressive medical treatment with or without stenting in high-risk patients with intracranial artery stenosis (SAMMPRIS): the final results of a randomized trial. Lancet 2014 Jan 25;383(9914):333-41.
- \*Derdeyn CP, et al. Nonprocedural symptomatic infarction and in-stent restenosis after intracranial angioplasty in the SAMMPRIS Trial (Stenting and Aggressive medical Management for the prevention of Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis). Stroke 2017 June;48(6):1501-1506.
- \*Dorn F, et al. Stent angioplasty of intracranial stenosis: single center experience of 54 cases. Clin Neuroradiol 2012 Jun;22(2):149-56.
- \*Du B, et al. Long-term outcome of tandem stenting of stenoses of the intracranial vertebrobasilar artery and vertebral ostium. AJNR 2009 Apr;30(4):840-4.
- \*Dumont TM, et al. Revisiting angioplasty without stenting for symptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis after the Stenting and Aggressive Medical Management for Preventing Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS) study. Neurosurgery 2012 Dec;7(6):1103-10.
- \*Fiorella D, et al. US multicenter experience with the wingspan stent system for the treatment of intracranial atheromatous disease: periprocedural results. Stroke 2007 Mar;38(3):881-7.
- \*Fiorella D, et al. Detailed analysis of periprocedural strokes in patients undergoing intracranial stenting in Stenting and Aggressive Medical Management for Preventing Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS). Stroke 2012 Oct;43(10):2682-8.
- \*Gao X, et al. Wingspan stent-assisted coiling of intracranial aneurysms with symptomatic parent artery stenosis: experience in 35 patients with mid-term follow-up results. Eur J Radiol 2012 May;81(5):e750-6.
- \*Groschel K, et al. A systematic review on outcome after stenting for intracranial atherosclerosis. Stroke 2009 May;40(5):e340-7.
- \*Gupta R, et al. Safety, feasibility, and short-term follow-up of drug-eluting stent placement in the intracranial and extracranial circulation. Stroke 2006 Oct;37(10):2562-6.
- \*Hartmann M, et al. Angioplasty and stenting of intracranial stenosis. Curr Opin Neurol 2005 Feb;18(1):39-45.
- \*Henkes H, et al. Treatment of intracranial atherosclerotic stenoses with balloon dilatation and self-expanding stent deployment (WingSpan). Neuroradiol 2005 Mar;47(3):222-8.
- \*Higashida RT, et al. Intracranial angioplasty & stenting for cerebral atherosclerosis: a position statement of the American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the American Society of Neuroradiology. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2005 Oct;16(10):1281-5.
- Hussain M, et al. Spanning from the West to East: an updated review on endovascular treatment of intracranial atherosclerotic disease. Aging Dis 2017 April 1;8(2):196-202.
- Jafari M, et al. Current advances in endovascular treatment of intracranial atherosclerotic disease and future prospective. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases 2021 Mar; 30(3):1-13.
- \*Jiang WJ, et al. Apollo stent for symptomatic atherosclerotic intracranial stenosis: study results. AJNR 2007 May;28(5):830-4.
- \*Jiang WJ, et al. Outcomes of patients with > 70% symptomatic intracranial stenosis after wingspan stenting. Stroke 2011 Jul;42(7):1971-5.
- Kadooka K, et al. Safety and efficacy of balloon angioplasty in symptomatic intracranial stenosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neuroradiol 2020 Feb;47(1):27-32.
- \*Kozak O, et al. High risk of recurrent ischemic events among patients with deferred intracranial angioplasty and stent placement for symptomatic intracranial atherosclerosis. Neurosurgery 2011 Aug;69(2):334-42.

## Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING

Policy Number: 7.01.70

Page: 7 of 9

\*Kurre W, et al. In-hospital complication rates after stent treatment of 388 symptomatic intracranial stenoses: results from the INTRASTENT multicentric registry. Stroke 2010 Mar;41(3):494-8.

\*Kurre W, et al. Complication rates using balloon-expandable and self-expanding stents for the treatment of intracranial atherosclerotic stenoses: Analysis of the INTRASTENT multicentric registry. Neuroradiol 2012 Jan;54(1):43-50.

\*Kwon HM, et al. Frequency, risk factors, and outcome of coexistent small vessel disease and intracranial stenosis: results from the stenting and aggressive medical management for preventing recurrent stroke in intracranial stenosis (SAMMPRIS) Trial. JAMA Neurol 2016 Jan 1;73(1):36-42.

\*Lanfranconi S, et al. Stenting for the treatment of high-grade intracranial stenoses. J Neurol 2010 Nov;257(11):1899-1908.

\*Levy EI, et al. Midterm clinical and angiographic follow-up for the first Food and Drug Administration-approved prospective, single arm trial of primary stenting for stroke: SARIS (Stent-Assisted Recanalization for Acute Ischemic Stroke). Neurosurgery 2011 Oct;69(4):915-20.

\*Li J, et al. Wingspan stent for high-grade symptomatic vertebrobasilar artery atherosclerotic stenosis. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2012 Apr;35(2):268-78.

Liu J, et al. Correlation studies and literature review of medullary artery occlusion after intracranial vertebral artery stenting. World Neurosurg 2019 Feb;122:665-670.

\*Marks MP, et al. Angioplasty for symptomatic intracranial stenosis: clinical outcome. Stroke 2006 Apr;37(4):1016-20.

Markus HS, et al. Vertebral artery stenting to prevent recurrent stroke in symptomatic vertebral artery stenosis: the VIST RCT. Health Technol Assess 2019 Aug;23(41):1-30.

\*Mazighi M, et al. Prospective study of symptomatic atherothrombotic intracranial stenoses: the GESICA study. Neurol 2006 Apr 25;66(8):1187-91.

\*Meyers PM, et al. Indications for the performance of intracranial endovascular neurointerventional procedures: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention, Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, Interdisciplinary Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Circulation 2009 Apr;119(16):2235-49.

\*Miao ZR, et al. Treatment of symptomatic middle cerebral artery stenosis with balloon-mounted stents: long-term follow-up at a single center. Neurosurgery 2009 Jan;64(1):79-84.

Nakashima T, et al. Long-term effects on preventing stroke after endovascular treatment or bypass surgery for intracranial arterial stenosis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2019 Apr;28(4):1107-1112.

Nassef, et al. Endovascular stenting of medically refractory intracranial arterial stenotic (ICAS) disease (clinical and sonographic study). The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2020 Jun 03; 56:5.

\*National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Division for Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention. Stroke facts. 2022 Oct 14 [<https://www.cdc.gov/stroke/facts.htm>] accessed 12/20/22.

\*National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Endovascular stent insertion for intracranial atherosclerotic disease. Interventional Procedure Guidance 429. 2012 Jul 23 [<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg429>] accessed 12/20/22.

Nordmeyer H, et al. Angioplasty and stenting of intracranial arterial stenosis in perforator-bearing segments: a comparison between the anterior and the posterior circulation. Front Neurol 2018 Jul 9;9:533.

Padalia A, et al. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with stent placement versus best medical therapy alone in symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis: a best evidence review. Cureus 2018 Jul 16;10(7):e2988.

## **Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 8 of 9**

Park SC, et al. Long-term outcome of angioplasty using a Wingspan stent, post-stent balloon dilation and aggressive restenosis management for intracranial arterial stenosis. Clin Neuroradiol 2020 Mar;30:159-169.

Peng G, et al. Submaximal primary angioplasty for symptomatic intracranial atherosclerosis: peri-procedural complications and long-term outcomes. Neuroradiology 2019 Jan;61(1):97-102.

\*Powers WJ, et al. Extracranial-intracranial bypass surgery for stroke prevention in hemodynamic cerebral ischemia: the Carotid Occlusion Surgery Study randomized trial. JAMA 2011 Nov 9;306(18):1983-92.

\*Qureshi AI, et al. Clinical and angiographic results of dilatation procedures for symptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic disease. J Neuroimag 2005 Jul;15(3):240-9.

\*Sacco RL, et al. Guidelines for prevention of stroke in patients with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Council on Stroke: co-sponsored by the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention. Stroke 2006;37:577– 617.

\*Schumacher HC, et al. Reporting standards for angioplasty and stent-assisted angioplasty for intracranial atherosclerosis. Stroke 2009 May;40(5):e348-65.

Seyedsaadat SM, et al. Submaximal angioplasty in the treatment of patients with symptomatic ICAD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurointerv Surg 2020 Nov 16; (12):380-385.

\*Siddiq F, et al. Rate of post-procedural stroke and death in SAMMPRIS trial eligible patients treated with intracranial angioplasty and/or stent placement in practice. Neurosurgery 2012 Jul;71(1):68-73.

\*SSYLVA Study Investigators. Stenting of Symptomatic Atherosclerotic Lesions in the Vertebral or Intracranial Arteries (SSYLVA): study results. Stroke 2004 Jun;35(6):1388-92.

\*Straube T, et al. Primary stenting of intracranial atherosclerotic stenoses. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2005 May-Jun;28(3):289-95.

\*Suri MF, et al. Intracranial angioplasty and/or stent placement in octogenarians is associated with a threefold greater risk of periprocedural stroke or death. J Endovasc Ther 2010 Jun;17(3):314-9.

\*Tang CW, et al. Stenting versus medical treatment for severe symptomatic intracranial stenosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011 May;32(5):911-6.

\*Terada T, et al. Hemorrhagic complications after endovascular therapy for atherosclerotic intracranial arterial stenoses. Neurosurgery 2006 Aug;59(2):310-8; discussion 310-8.

\*Turan TN, et al. Treatment of atherosclerotic intracranial arterial stenosis. Stroke 2009 Jun;40(6):2257-61.

Ueda T, et al. Long-term outcome and factors associated with restenosis after combination therapy of balloon angioplasty and stenting for symptomatic intracranial stenosis. BMC Neurology 2022;22:477.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Use of Stryker wingspan stent system outside of approved indications leads to an increased risk of stroke or death: FDA Safety Communication 2019 Apr 25  
[\[https://www.fda.gov/search?s=Stryker+wingspan+stent+system+\]](https://www.fda.gov/search?s=Stryker+wingspan+stent+system+) accessed 12/20/22.

\*Wang T, et al. Endovascular therapy versus medical treatment for symptomatic intracranial artery stenosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020 Aug 11;8:CD013267.

\*Weber W, et al. Efficacy of stent angioplasty for symptomatic stenoses of the proximal vertebral artery. Eur J Radiol 2005 Nov;56(2):240-7.

\*Weber W, et al. Stent-angioplasty of intracranial vertebral and basilar artery stenoses in symptomatic patients. Eur J Radiol 2005 Aug;55(2):231-6.

Yaghi S, et al. Peri-procedural stroke or death in stenting of symptomatic severe intracranial stenosis. J Neurointerv Surg 2020 Mar 16;(12):374-379.



**Medical Policy: ANGIOPLASTY OF INTRACRANIAL ATHEROSCLEROTIC STENOSES WITH OR WITHOUT STENTING**

**Policy Number: 7.01.70**

**Page: 9 of 9**

\*Yoon W, et al. Symptomatic middle cerebral artery stenosis treated with intracranial angioplasty: experience in 32 patients. Radiol 2005 Nov;237(2):620-6.

Yu SCH, et al. Long-term evolutionary change in the lumen of intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis following angioplasty and stenting. Oper Neurosurg (Hagerstown) 2018 Feb 1;14(2):128-138.

\*Yu J, et al. Treatment of symptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis with a normal-sized Gateway™ balloon and Wingspan™ stent. J Int Med Res 2010 38(6):1968-74.

\*Zaidat OO, et al. Effect of a balloon-expandable intracranial stent vs medical therapy on risk of stroke in patients with symptomatic intracranial stenosis: the VISSIT randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015 Mar 24-31;313(12):1240-8.

Zhao J, et al. Concomitant asymptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis increase the 30-day risk of stroke in patients undergoing symptomatic intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis stenting. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2018 Feb;27(2):479-485.

Zheng M, et al. Endovascular recanalization of non-acute symptomatic middle cerebral artery total occlusion and its short-term outcomes. Front Neurol 2019 May 15;10:484.

\*Zhou Y, et al. Angioplasty with stenting for intracranial atherosclerosis: a systematic review. J Int Med Res 2012;40(1):18-27.

Zhou Y, et al. Angioplasty and stenting for severe symptomatic atherosclerotic stenosis of intracranial vertebrobasilar artery. J Clin Neurosci 2019 May;63:17-21.

\*Key Article

**KEY WORDS**

Angioplasty, Intracranial Circulation, Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty, At, Neurolink System, Wingspan Stent.

**CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS**

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA). Please refer to the following NCD website for Medicare Members:

[\[http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=201&ncdver=10&CoverageSelection=Both&ArticleType=All&PolicyType=Final&s=New+York+-+Upstate&CptHcpcsCode=36514&bc=gAAAABAAAAAAAA%3d%3d&\]](http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=201&ncdver=10&CoverageSelection=Both&ArticleType=All&PolicyType=Final&s=New+York+-+Upstate&CptHcpcsCode=36514&bc=gAAAABAAAAAAAA%3d%3d&)