

MEDICAL POLICY

MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS	
Medical Policy Title	Cardiac Rehabilitation
Policy Number	8.01.14
Category	Contract Clarification
Original Effective Date	10/18/01
Committee Approval Date	10/18/01, 06/20/02, 04/24/03, 03/18/04, 09/01/04, 09/15/05, 12/07/06, 12/13/07, 12/11/08, 12/10/09, 12/09/10, 06/24/11, 06/28/12, 06/27/13, 06/26/14, 06/25/15, 06/22/16, 08/25/17, 06/28/18, 10/22/20, 10/28/21, 10/20/22, 10/19/23
Current Effective Date	10/19/23
Archived Date	06/27/19-10/24/19
Archive Reviewed Date	10/24/19
Product Disclaimer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply. • If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product), medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. • If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. • If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. • If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service, please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line.

POLICY STATEMENT

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, monitored Phase I and Phase II cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programs have been proven to be medically effective and, therefore, are considered **medically appropriate** for patients with the following indications:
 - A. Acute myocardial infarction (MI) within the preceding 12 months;
 - B. Angioplasty with stenting within the preceding 12 months;
 - C. Coronary angioplasty within the preceding 12 months;
 - D. Coronary bypass surgery within the preceding 12 months;
 - E. Heart transplantation within the preceding 12 months;
 - F. Class II or higher congestive heart failure;
 - G. Stable angina pectoris; or
 - H. Valvular disease.
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, Phase III maintenance programs are considered **not medically necessary**. (Please refer to Policy Guideline IV below).
- III. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, intensive cardiac rehabilitation (ICR), is considered **investigational** for all indications, including but not limited to the following programs:
 - A. The Ornish Program for Reversing Heart Disease; or
 - B. The Pritikin Program; or
 - C. The Benson-Henry Institute Cardiac Wellness Program.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.03 Experimental and Investigational Services

POLICY GUIDELINES

- I. Due to a strong scientific evidence base for the efficacy of cardiac rehabilitation in adult patients, and the lack of a strong evidence base in pediatric patients, this policy generally applies to adult patients. Cardiac rehabilitation for

Medical Policy: **CARDIAC REHABILITATION**

Policy Number: 8.01.14

Page: 2 of 8

pediatric patients will be reviewed based on clinical indicators, including, but not limited to the patient's diagnosis (e.g., congenital anomalies, valvular disorders), recent surgical procedures (e.g., cardiac transplant, valvular replacement or repair), and acceptance into a pediatric cardiac rehabilitation program.

- II. Monitored Phase II cardiac rehabilitation programs must be recommended by the patient's cardiologist or primary care physician and rendered by a provider whose cardiac rehabilitation program has been approved by:
 - A. the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation (AACVPR), if the program is rendered at an outpatient free-standing facility or in the practitioner's office; or
 - B. the AACVPR, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO), or the American Osteopathic Association (AOA), if the program is rendered at a hospital-based facility.
- III. Due to the increased risk of experiencing a cardiac event (e.g., ventricular arrhythmia, infarction), Phase II CR programs must include physician supervision and continuous electrocardiographic monitoring during exercise.
- IV. Phase III maintenance programs consist of activities that preserve the patient's present level of function and prevent regression of that function. Maintenance begins when the therapeutic goals of a treatment plan have been achieved or when no additional functional progress is apparent or expected to occur.
- V. Additional CR services are appropriate if the individual has *another* qualifying cardiac event, including **ANY** of the following:
 - A. another documented MI or extension of initial infarction;
 - B. another cardiovascular surgery or angioplasty; or
 - C. new, clinically significant coronary lesions documented by cardiac catheterization.
- VI. Benefits for CR will be provided in accordance with the member's subscriber contract. Please contact the Customer Care (Member or Provider) Department to determine benefits available under a member's subscriber contract as not all benefit plans provide coverage for CR.

DESCRIPTION

According to the U.S. Public Health Service, the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation (AACVPR), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), and the American Heart Association (AHA), "Cardiac rehabilitation services are comprehensive, long-term programs involving medical evaluation, prescribed exercise, cardiac risk factor modification, education, and counseling. These programs are designed to limit the physiologic and psychological effects of cardiac illness, reduce the risk for sudden death or re-infarction, control cardiac symptoms, stabilize or reverse the atherosclerotic process, and enhance the psychosocial and vocational status of selected patients."

A CR program should be initiated as soon as medically indicated following a cardiac event. Examples of cardiac events are acute MI, coronary artery bypass graft, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), heart valve surgery, heart transplantation, stable angina pectoris, or compensated heart failure.

CR consists of three phases, or levels, of service:

- I. *Phase I*, or inpatient CR: a program that delivers preventive and rehabilitative services to hospitalized patients following an index cardiovascular disease (CVD) event.
- II. *Phase II*, or early outpatient CR: a physician-supervised outpatient program that includes electrocardiographic monitoring during exercise and is intended to improve cardiac function and exercise tolerance. Programs are hospital, physician's office- or clinic-based and must meet federal and state regulatory and licensing requirements; and
- III. *Phase III*, or long-term outpatient CR: a supervised or non-supervised maintenance program.

ICR refers to a physician-supervised program that furnishes CR services more frequently, and often in a more rigorous manner. As required by §1861(ee)(4)(A) of the U.S. Social Security Act (the "Act"), an ICR program must demonstrate, through peer-reviewed, published research, that it accomplished one or more of the following for its patients:

- I. positively affected the progression of coronary heart disease (CHD);
- II. reduced the need for coronary bypass surgery; or
- III. reduced the need for percutaneous coronary interventions.

Medical Policy: CARDIAC REHABILITATION

Policy Number: 8.01.14

Page: 3 of 8

The ICR program must also demonstrate, through peer-reviewed, published research, that it accomplished a statistically significant reduction in five or more of the following levels for patients, measured from before CR services to after CR services:

- I. low-density lipoprotein;
- II. triglycerides;
- III. body mass index;
- IV. systolic blood pressure;
- V. diastolic blood pressure; and
- VI. the need for cholesterol, blood pressure, and diabetes medications.

According to CMS, ICR program sessions are limited to 72 one-hour sessions, up to six sessions per day, over a period of up to 18 weeks. There is no standard definition of an ICR program and, thus, specific programs are reviewed individually.

RATIONALE

CR program providers are subject to state and federal licensing requirements. Due to the advances in the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac disease, there is a shift of CVD from an acutely fatal event to a chronic disease. There is a growing need for medical services to aid patients in improving their quality of life, lessen symptoms, increase functional capacity, and decrease disability. Formal CR programs meet this need for select cardiac patients and improve their net health outcomes by decreasing the incidence of cardiac death.

Sumner et al. (2017) published a systematic review of controlled observational studies evaluating CR in patients diagnosed with acute MI. CR interventions consisted of structured, multi-component programs that included exercise and at least one of the following: education, information, health behavior change, and psychological or social support. Usual care interventions, generally supervised medical interventions, were the control conditions. Ten studies met reviewers' eligibility criteria. In a meta-analysis of five studies reporting all-cause mortality (an unadjusted outcome), there was a significantly lower risk of death in the group that received CR (odds ratio, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.40). Three studies that reported an adjusted analysis of all-cause mortality also found a significant benefit from CR (odds ratio, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.59). Similarly, a meta-analysis of three studies reporting cardiac-related mortality (an unadjusted analysis) found a significant benefit from CR (odds ratio, 0.21; 95% CI, 0.12 to 0.37). Only one study reported an adjusted analysis of cardiac-related mortality, so data could not be pooled.

Nilsson et al. (2018) investigated the effect of a 12-week CR program with a high-intensity interval exercise component using participant VO₂peak as a measure of improved exercise capacity. Increased exercise capacity has been shown to improve survival among persons with CHD. The objective of the study was to assess whether this addition to a CR program yielded improved long-term results. A total of 133 coronary patients participated in this prospective cohort study and were evaluated at baseline, at the end of the 12-week program, and again at a 15-month follow-up. Additional test measurements included a cardiopulmonary exercise test, body mass index, blood pressure tests, and a quality of life questionnaire. Of the 133 patients, 86 patients had complete information for the 15-month follow-up. Mean VO₂peak improved from a baseline of 31.9 mL/kg/min to 35.9 mL/kg/min (p<0.001) at the end of the 12-week program, and to 36.8 mL/kg/min (CI not reported) at 15-month follow-up. Most of the 86 patients reported maintaining an exercise routine. Study limitations included the small sample size, a relatively low-risk male population at baseline, and lack of information on the qualifying event for CR. The authors concluded that the CR program intervention potentially fostered consistent and beneficial exercise habits, as demonstrated by improved VO₂peak.

The benefits of formal CR programs outweigh those of informal exercise programs or the lack of a rehabilitative program. Through clinical trials, supervised/formal CR programs have been proven to improve the health outcomes of select cardiac patients.

Intensive Cardiac Rehabilitation

Ornish et al. (1990) conducted a randomized, controlled trial (RCT), called the Lifestyle Heart Trial, comparing a version of the Ornish Program for Reversing Heart Disease with usual care. Initial results were reported in 1990, and five-year results in 1998. Twenty (71%) of 28 patients in the intervention group and 15 (75%) of 20 in the control group completed the five-year follow-up. The intervention and control groups did not differ significantly in the number of MI events (2

Medical Policy: **CARDIAC REHABILITATION**

Policy Number: 8.01.14

Page: 4 of 8

versus 4), CABGs (2 versus 5), or deaths (2 versus 1). However, compared with the control group, the intervention group had significantly fewer percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasties (8 versus 14, $p < 0.050$) and cardiac hospitalizations (23 versus 44, $p < 0.001$). The trial had a small sample size for a cardiac trial ($N = 48$), and only 35 patients were available for the five-year follow-up.

Lakhani et al (2023) conducted a prospective, nonrandomized study that compared intensive cardiac rehabilitation with the Pritikin Program and traditional outpatient cardiac rehabilitation. The primary outcomes of interest were change in diet quality and quality of life from baseline to visit 24. There was a significant improvement in diet quality but not in quality of life between the Pritikin Program and traditional cardiac rehabilitation groups. Body mass index was also improved in patients who received intensive rehabilitation. Limitations of the study include a short follow-up, lack of data for cardiovascular outcomes, and nonrandomization.

Racette et al (2022) published seven-year outcomes from the first institution to implement the Pritikin Program. Retrospective data for 1,507 patients who received the intensive cardiac rehabilitation program and 456 patients who received traditional cardiac rehabilitation were compared. Outcomes of interest (e.g., anthropometric measures, dietary patterns, 6-minute walk distance [6MWD], grip strength, and HRQoL) all improved with the Pritikin Program. Significant benefit of the Pritikin Program compared to traditional cardiac rehabilitation were noted for change in body weight ($p < .0001$), body mass index ($p < .0001$), waist circumference ($p < .0001$), and diet quality as measured by the Rate Your Plate score ($p < .0001$). There was no difference in 6MWD or grip strength between groups. Cardiovascular outcomes, including rehospitalization or mortality, were not assessed and there was no follow up on the patients who did not complete the program.

No RCTs have evaluated the Pritikin Program or the Benson-Henry Institute Cardiac Wellness Program, in comparison to usual care or to standard CR; therefore, conclusions cannot be drawn for these programs regarding their impact on health outcomes.

CODES

- *Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.*
- ***CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.***
- *Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.*
- *Code Key: Experimental/Investigational = (E/I), Not medically necessary/ appropriate = (NMN).*

CPT Codes

Code	Description
93797	Physician or other qualified health care professional services for outpatient cardiac rehabilitation; without continuous ECG monitoring (per session)
93798	with continuous ECG monitoring (per session)

Copyright © 2023 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS Codes

Code	Description
G0422 (E/I)	Intensive cardiac rehabilitation; with or without continuous ECG monitoring with exercise, per session
G0423 (E/I)	Intensive cardiac rehabilitation; with or without continuous ECG monitoring; without exercise, per session
S9472	Cardiac rehabilitation program, non-physician provider, per diem

ICD10 Codes

Code	Description
A52.03	Syphilitic endocarditis

Medical Policy: CARDIAC REHABILITATION**Policy Number: 8.01.14****Page: 5 of 8**

Code	Description
I01.1	Acute rheumatic endocarditis
I02.0	Rheumatic chorea with heart involvement
I05.0-I09.9	Chronic rheumatic heart diseases (code range)
I20.1	Angina pectoris with documented spasm
I20.8-I20.9	Other or unspecified forms of angina pectoris (code range)
I21.01-I21.3	ST elevation (STEMI) myocardial infarction (code range)
I21.4	Non-ST elevation (NSTEMI) myocardial infarction
I21.9	Acute myocardial infarction, unspecified
I21.A1-I21.A9	Other type of myocardial infarction (code range)
I22.0-I22.9	Subsequent ST (STEMI) or non-ST (NSTEMI) elevation myocardial infarction (code range)
I25.10-I25.9	Chronic ischemic heart disease (code range)
I34.0-I34.9	Nonrheumatic mitral valve disorders (code range)
I35.0-I35.9	Nonrheumatic aortic valve disorders (code range)
I36.0-I36.9	Nonrheumatic tricuspid valve disorders (code range)
I37.0-I37.9	Nonrheumatic pulmonary valve disorders (code range)
I50.1-I50.9	Heart failure (code range)
Q23.2	Congenital mitral stenosis
Z94.1	Heart transplant status
Z94.3	Heart and lungs transplant status
Z95.1	Presence of aortocoronary bypass graft
Z95.2	Presence of prosthetic heart valve
Z95.5	Presence of coronary angioplasty implant and graft
Z95.812	Presence of fully implantable artificial heart
Z98.61	Coronary angioplasty status

Revenue Codes

Code	Description
943	Cardiac rehabilitation

REFERENCES

*Aldana SG, et al. Cardiovascular risk reductions associated with aggressive lifestyle modification and cardiac rehabilitation. *Heart Lung* 2003 Nov-Dec;32(6):374-82.

Anderson L, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev* 2016 Jan 5;1:CD001800.

Anderson L, et al. Home-based versus centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017 Jun 30;6:CD007130.

*Balady GJ, et al. Core components of cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs: 2007 update: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee, the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Councils on Cardiovascular Nursing, Epidemiology and Prevention, and Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism; and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Circ* 2007 May 22;115(20):2675-82.

Medical Policy: **CARDIAC REHABILITATION**

Policy Number: 8.01.14

Page: 6 of 8

Bjarnason-Wehrens B, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction: The Cardiac Rehabilitation Outcome Study in Heart Failure (CROS-HF): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2020 Jun;27(9):929-952.

Chacón MM, et al. Effects of supervised cardiac rehabilitation programmes on quality of life among myocardial infarction patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis 2021 Nov;8(12):166.

*Daubenmier JJ, et al. The contribution of changes in diet, exercise, and stress management to changes in coronary risk in women and men in the multisite cardiac lifestyle intervention program. Ann Behav Med 2007 Feb;33(1):57-68.

*Fihn SD, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012 Dec 18;60(24):2564-2603.

*Frattaroli J, et al. Angina pectoris and atherosclerotic risk factors in the multisite cardiac lifestyle intervention program. Am J Cardiol 2008 Apr 1;101(7):911-8.

Ji H, et al. Effects of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in patients with acute coronary syndrome: A meta-analysis. Med Sci Monit 2019 Jul 7;25:5015-5027.

Katzenberg C, et al. Outcomes in a community-based intensive cardiac rehabilitation program: comparison with hospital-based and academic programs. Am J Med 2018 Aug;131(8):967-971.

*Koertge J, et al. Improvement in medical risk factors and quality of life in women and men with coronary artery disease in the Multicenter Lifestyle Demonstration Project. Am J Cardiol 2003 Jun 1;91(11):1316-22.

*Lakhani F, et al. Prospective study of the impact of outpatient intensive cardiac rehabilitation on diet quality, health-related quality of life, and cardiovascular health indices. Am J Cardiol 2023 Apr;192:60-66.

*Lee AJ and Shepard DS. Costs of cardiac rehabilitation and enhanced lifestyle modification programs. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev Nov-Dec 2009;29(6):348-57.

Long L, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults with stable angina. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018 Feb 2;2(2):CD012786.

Long L, et al. Exercise based rehabilitation for heart failure. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019 Jan 29;1:CD003331.

Nielsen KM, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adult patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019 Feb 12;2:CD011828.

Nilsson BB, Lunde P, Groggaard HK, et al. Long-term results of high-intensity exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in revascularized patients for symptomatic coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol Jan 1 2018;121(1):21-26.

*Ornish D, et al. Can lifestyle changes reverse coronary heart disease? The Lifestyle Heart Trial. Lancet 1990 Jul 21;336(8708):129-33.

*Ornish D, et al. Intensive lifestyle changes for reversal of coronary heart disease. JAMA 1998 Dec 16;280(23):2001-7.

Pandey A, Kitzman DW, Brubaker P, et al. Response to endurance exercise training in older adults with heart failure with preserved or reduced ejection fraction. J Am Geriatr Soc Aug 2017;65(8):1698-1704.

*Piepoli MF, et al. Secondary prevention through cardiac rehabilitation: from knowledge to implementation. A position paper from the Cardiac Rehabilitation Section of the European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2010 Feb;17(1):1-17.

*Pischke CR, et al. Lifestyle changes are related to reductions in depression in persons with elevated coronary risk factors. Psychol Health 2010 Nov;25(9):1077-100.

*Racette SB, et al. Benefits of the first Pritikin outpatient intensive cardiac rehabilitation program. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 2022 Nov;42(6):449-455.

Medical Policy: CARDIAC REHABILITATION

Policy Number: 8.01.14

Page: 7 of 8

*Rhodes J, et al. Sustained effects of cardiac rehabilitation in children with serious congenital heart disease. Pediatrics 2006 Sep;118(3):e586-93.

Sheridan DJ, et al. Reply: The Efficacy of Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation: The Changing Face of Usual Care. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017 Mar 7;69(9):1208-1209.

*Silberman A, et al. The effectiveness and efficacy of an intensive cardiac rehabilitation program in 24 sites. Am J Health Promot Mar-Apr 2010;24(4):260-6.

Snoek, JA, et al. Effectiveness of home-based mobile cardiac rehabilitation as alternative strategy for nonparticipation in clinic-based cardiac rehabilitation among elderly patients in Europe, a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Cardiology 2020 Nov 25;6(4):463-468.

*Smith SC, et al. AHA/ACC scientific statement: AHA/ACC guidelines for preventing heart attack and death in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: 2001 update: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology. Circ 2001 Sep 25;104(13):1577-9.

Social Security Act §1861(eee)(4)(A) [https://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/title18/1861.htm] accessed 09/29/23.

Sumner J, et al. The effectiveness of modern cardiac rehabilitation: A systematic review of recent observational studies in non-attenders versus attenders. PLoS One 2017;12(5):e0177658.

Taylor RS, et al. The Efficacy of Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation: The Changing Face of Usual Care J Am Coll Cardiol 2017 Mar 7;69(9):1207-1208.

*Thomas RJ, et al. AACVPR/ACC/AHA 2010 update: performance measures on cardiac rehabilitation for referral to cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention services. J Cardiopulmon Rehabil Prev 2010;30:279-88.

Thomas RJ, et al. 2018 ACC/AHA clinical performance and quality measures for cardiac rehabilitation: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018 Apr 24;71(16):1814-1837.

Tsai YJ, et al. Improved oxygen uptake efficiency slope in acute myocardial infarction patients after early phase I cardiac rehabilitation. Int J Rehabil Res 2017 Apr 13.

*Williams MA, et al. Secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in the elderly (with emphasis on patients > or = 75 years of age): an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology Subcommittee on Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention. Circ 2002 Apr 9;105(14):1735-43.

Yamamoto, S, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for people with implantable ventricular assist devices. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018 Sep 30;9:CD012222.

*Key Article

KEY WORDS

Cardiac rehabilitation, Cardiac therapy, Heart therapy.

CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Cardiac Rehabilitation Programs for Chronic Heart Failure (20.10.1). Please refer to the following website for Medicare Members:

<http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=359&ncdver=1&CoverageSelection=Both&ArticleType=All&PolicyType=Final&s=New+York+-+Entire+State&KeyWord=cardiac+rehab&KeyWordLookUp=Title&KeywordSearchType=And&bc=gAAAABAAAAAAA%3d%3d&> accessed 09/29/23.

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Intensive Cardiac Rehabilitation (ICR) Programs (20.31). Please refer to the following NCD website for Medicare Members:

Medical Policy: CARDIAC REHABILITATION

Policy Number: 8.01.14

Page: 8 of 8

<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=339&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCA%7cCAL%7cNCD%7cMEDCAC%7cTA%7cMCD&ArticleType=SAD%7cEd&PolicyType=Both&s=41&Keyword=cardiac+rehabilitation&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=Exact&kq=true&bc=IAAAACAAAAAA&> accessed 09/29/23.

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Benson-Henry Institute Cardiac Wellness Program (20.31.3). Please refer to the following NCD website for Medicare Members:

<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=362&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCA%7cCAL%7cNCD%7cMEDCAC%7cTA%7cMCD&ArticleType=SAD%7cEd&PolicyType=Both&s=41&Keyword=cardiac+rehabilitation&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=Exact&kq=true&bc=IAAAACAAAAAA&> accessed 09/29/23.

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Ornish Program for Reversing Heart Disease (20.31.2). Please refer to the following NCD website for Medicare Members:

<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=341&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCA%7cCAL%7cNCD%7cMEDCAC%7cTA%7cMCD&ArticleType=SAD%7cEd&PolicyType=Both&s=41&Keyword=cardiac+rehabilitation&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=Exact&kq=true&bc=IAAAACAAAAAA&> accessed 09/29/23.

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for The Pritikin Program (20.31.1). Please refer to the following NCD website for Medicare Members:

<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=340&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCA%7cCAL%7cNCD%7cMEDCAC%7cTA%7cMCD&ArticleType=SAD%7cEd&PolicyType=Both&s=41&Keyword=cardiac+rehabilitation&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=Exact&kq=true&bc=IAAAACAAAAAA&> accessed 09/29/23.

Additional information, regarding Cardiac and Intensive Cardiac Rehabilitation Programs, can be found in the Medicare Claims Processing Manual, Section 140, and can be accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/Downloads/clm104c32.pdf>. accessed 09/29/23.